UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,791	01/15/2004	Manoj Singhal	15155US01	5464
CHRISTOPHER C. WINSLADE MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY 500 WEST MADISON STREET 34TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60661			EXAMINER	
			NEWAY, SAMUEL G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/757,791	SINGHAL, MANOJ			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SAMUEL G. NEWAY	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 No</u>	ovember 2008				
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<i>i</i> —	<i>/</i> —				
	<del></del> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-7,10-13,15-17,20 and 21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-7,10-13,15-17,20 and 21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	rejected.				
· · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5)  Notice of Informal P	atent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L. Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/757,791 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is responsive to the amendment after non-final filed on 03 November 2008.

2. Claims 1 - 3, 5 - 7, 10 - 13, 15 - 17, 20, and 21 are pending and considered below. Claim 21 is new.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 03 November 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Abe and De Lima Araujo cannot be combined because the combination would render Abe's invention inoperable. In particular, Applicant argues that decimating Abe's audio signal using De Lima Araujo's method would impair determining frequency width and high frequency components, both of which are used in classifying Abe's audio. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The frequency width and high frequency components determinations, calculations performed in Abe's spectrum analysis (Abe, Fig. 3, element 12), would not be affected by De Lima Araujo's decimation because the decimation will occur in Abe's LPC analysis (Abe, Fig. 3, element 21 and related text). Decimating audio signals in LPC analysis is old and well known as shown by De Lima Araujo's teachings. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have decimated Abe's audio signals in the LPC analysis.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,791 Page 3

Art Unit: 2626

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 21 (New) is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim, which depends on claim 1, recites comparing "the residual energy of the decimated portion". However, it is noted that the decimation process in claim 1 is the last step and there is therefore no residual energy calculated for the decimated portion. The only residual energy recited in claim 1 is the one calculated for a portion of the audio signal and there is no residual energy determined for the decimated portion.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 1 - 3, 5 - 7, 10 - 13, 15 - 17, and 20 are rejected as put forth in the non-final rejection mailed on 04 August 2008.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/757,791

Page 4

Art Unit: 2626

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAMUEL G. NEWAY whose telephone number is (571)270-1058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30AM - 5:30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,791 Page 5

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David R Hudspeth/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626

/S. G. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 2626